

Understanding trial results and statistics

Interpreting and understanding replicated trial results is not always easy. We have tried to report trial results in this book in a standard format, to make interpretation easier. Trials are generally replicated (treatments repeated two or more times) so there can be confidence that the results are from the treatments applied, rather than due to some other cause such as underlying soil variation or simply chance.

The average (or mean)

The results of replicated trials are often presented as the average (or mean) for each of the replicated treatments. Using statistics, means are compared to see whether any differences are larger than is likely to be caused by natural variability across the trial area (such as changing soil type).

The LSD test

To judge whether two or more treatments are different or not, a statistical test called the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test is used. If there is no appreciable difference found between treatments then the result shows "ns" (not significant). If the statistical test finds a significant difference, it is written as " $P \leq 0.05$ ". This means there is a 5% probability or less that the observed difference between treatment means occurred by chance, or we are at least 95% certain that the observed differences are due to the treatment effects.

The size of the LSD can then be used to compare the means. For example, in a trial with four treatments, only one treatment may be significantly different from the other three – the size of the LSD is used to see which treatments are different.

Results from replicated trial

An example of a replicated trial of three fertiliser treatments and a control (no fertiliser), with a statistical interpretation, is shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Mean grain yields of fertiliser treatments (4 replicates per treatment)

Treatment	Grain Yield (t/ha)
Control	1.32 a
Fertiliser 1	1.51 a,b
Fertiliser 2	1.47 a,b
Fertiliser 3	1.70 b
Significant treatment difference	$P \leq 0.05$
LSD ($P=0.05$)	0.33

Statistical analysis indicates that there is a fertiliser treatment effect on yields. $P \leq 0.05$ indicates that the probability of such differences in grain yield occurring by chance is 5% (1 in 20) or less. In other words, it is highly likely (more than 95% probability) that the observed differences are due to the fertiliser treatments imposed.

The LSD shows that mean grain yields for individual treatments must differ by 0.33 t/ha or more, for us to accept that the treatments do have a real effect on yields. These pairwise treatment comparisons are often shown using the letter as in the last column of Table 1. Treatment means with the same letter are not significantly different from each other. The treatments that do differ significantly are those followed by different letters.

In our example, the control and fertiliser treatments 1 and 2 are the same (all followed by "a"). Despite fertilisers 1 and 2 giving apparently higher yields than control, we can't dismiss the possibility that these small differences are just due to chance variation between plots. All three fertiliser treatments also have to be accepted as giving the same yields (all followed by "b"). But fertiliser treatment 3 can be accepted as producing a yield response over the control, indicated in the table by the means not sharing the same letter.

On-farm testing – Prove it on your place!

Doing an on-farm trial is more than just planting a test strip in the back paddock, or picking a few treatments and sowing some plots. Problems such as paddock variability, seasonal variability and changes across a district all serve to confound interpretation of anything but a well-designed trial.

Scientists generally prefer replicated small plots for conclusive results. But for farmers such trials can be time-consuming and unsuited to use with farm machinery. Small errors in planning can give results that are difficult to interpret. Research work in the 1930's showed that errors due to soil variability increased as plots got larger, but at the same time, sampling errors increased with smaller plots.

The carefully planned and laid out farmer un-replicated trial or demonstration does have a role in agriculture as it enables a farmer to verify research findings on his particular soil type, rainfall and farming system, and we all know that "if I see it on my place, then I'm more likely to adopt it". On-farm trials and demonstrations often serve as a catalyst for new ideas, which then lead to replicated trials to validate these observations.

The bottom line with un-replicated trial work is to have confidence that any differences (positive or negative) are real and repeatable, and due to the treatment rather than some other factor.

To get the best out of your on-farm trials, note the following points:

- Choose your test site carefully so that it is uniform and representative - yield maps will help, if available.
- Identify the treatments you wish to investigate and their possible effects. Don't attempt too many treatments.
- Make treatment areas to be compared as large as possible, at least wider than your header.
- Treat and manage these areas similarly in all respects, except for the treatments being compared.
- If possible, place a control strip on both sides and in the middle of your treatment strips, so that if there is a change in conditions you are likely to spot it by comparing the performance of control strips.
- If you can't find an even area, align your treatment strips so that all treatments are equally exposed to the changes. For example, if there is a slope, run the strips up the slope. This means that all treatments will be partly on the flat, part on the mid slope and part at the top of the rise. This is much better than running strips across the slope, which may put your control on the sandy soil at the top of the rise and your treatment on the heavy flat, for example. This would make a direct comparison very tricky.
- Record treatment details accurately and monitor the test strips, otherwise the whole exercise will be a waste of time.
- If possible, organise a weigh trailer come harvest time, as header yield monitors have their limitations.
- Don't forget to evaluate the economics of treatments when interpreting the results.
- Yield mapping provides a new and very useful tool for comparing large-scale treatment areas in a paddock.

The "Crop Monitoring Guide" published by Rural Solutions SA and available through PIRSA offices has additional information on conducting on-farm trials. Thanks to Jim Egan for the original article.



Types of work in this publication

The following table shows the major characteristics of the different types of work in this publication. The Editors would like to emphasise that because of their often un-replicated and broad scale nature, care should be taken when interpreting results from demonstrations.

Type of Work	Replication	Size	Work conducted by	How Analysed
DEMO	No	Normally large plots or paddock size	Farmers and Agronomists	Not statistical, trend comparisons
RESEARCH	Yes, usually 3	Generally small plot	Researchers	Statistics
SURVEY	Yes	Various	Various	Statistics or trend comparisons
EXTENSION	N/A	N/A	Agronomists and Researchers	Usually summary of research results
INFORMATION	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Some useful conversions

Area

1 ha (hectare) = 10,000 m² (square 100 m by 100m)
 1 acre = 0.4047 ha (1 chain (22 yards) by 10 chain)
 1 ha = 2.471 acres

Mass

1 t (metric tonne) = 1,000 kg
 1 imperial tonne = 1,016 kg
 1 kg = 2.205 lb
 1 lb = 0.454 kg

A bushel (bu) is traditionally a unit of volumetric measure defined as 8 gallons.

For grains, one bushel represents a dry mass equivalent of 8 gallons.

Wheat = 60 lb, Barley = 48 lb, Oats = 40 lb

1 bu (wheat) = 60 lb = 27.2 kg
 1 bag = 3 bu = 81.6 kg (wheat)

Yield Approximations

Wheat 1 t = 12 bags

Barley 1 t = 15 bags

Oats 1 t = 18 bags

1 t/ha = 5 bags/acre

1 t/ha = 6.1 bags/acre

1 t/ha = 7.3 bags/acre

Volume

1 L (litre) = 0.22 gallons

1 gallon = 4.55 L

1 L = 1,000 mL (millilitres)

Speed

1 km/hr = 0.62 miles/hr

10 km/hr = 6.2 miles/hr

15 km/hr = 9.3 miles/hr

10 km/hr = 167 metres/minute = 2.78 metres/second

Pressure

10 psi (pounds per sq inch) = 0.69 bar = 69 kPa (kiloPascals)

25 psi = 1.7 bar = 172 kPa

Yield

1 t/ha = 1000 kg/ha

1 bag/acre = 0.2 t/ha

1 bag/acre = 0.16 t/ha

1 bag/acre = 0.135 t/ha